

Biomechanical Analysis of Table Tennis Racket Performance Using Makassar Ebony Veneer: A Comparative Study

Wachid SugihartoUniversitas Negeri Surabaya
INDONESIA**Nurhasan**Universitas Negeri Surabaya
INDONESIA**Dwi Cahyo Kartiko**Universitas Negeri Surabaya
INDONESIA**Muchamad Arif Al Ardha**Universitas Negeri Surabaya
INDONESIA**Sauqi Sawa Bikalawan**Universitas Negeri Surabaya
INDONESIA**Sheva Edhu Wigraha**Universitas Negeri Surabaya
INDONESIA**Jay Mark Arbaan**Universitas Negeri Surabaya
INDONESIA

Article Info**Article history:**

Received: April 1, 2025

Revised: May 5, 2025

Accepted: June 14, 2025

Abstract

Background: A racket's performance in controlling trajectory and manipulating the speed of the ball is greatly influenced by its structural characteristics and materials. The material of the table tennis racket can affect its performance, reducing the speed and control of the ball.

Aims: The main objective of this research is to analyze the biomechanical performance of the Garuda Unesa Speed (GUS) table tennis racket made from Makassar ebony veneer as the main material and to compare its attributes, particularly speed and control, with those of high-end commercial rackets.

Methods: This study applies a comparative design by comparing two types of rackets in table tennis. Two-dimensional kinematics analysis was also conducted using Kinovea software.

Result: Based on the t-test results with a non-parametric path, all variables showed a significant difference with a p-value of 0.01 ($\text{Sig} < 0.05$). However, one variable did not show a significant difference, namely the velocity variable, with a p-value of 0.05 ($\text{Sig} < 0.05$). If examined from the average value, the speed variable has a slight difference (a difference of 0.5). The average speed data shows that the Makassar ebony wood veneer racket has better speed.

Conclusion: Based on the results of biomechanical analysis, the reflection of the Makassar ebony wood veneer racket makes a good contribution to the speed and control of the table tennis racket. This finding has practical implications for designing an effective table tennis athlete smash and block game by utilizing the speed and control of a table tennis racket using Makassar ebony wood veneer.

To cite this article: Sugiharto, W., Nurhasan., Kartiko, D. C., Al Ardha, M. A., Bikalawan, S. S., Wigraha, S. E., & Arbaan, J. M. (2025). Biomechanical analysis of table tennis racket performance using Makassar ebony veneer: A comparative study. *Journal of Coaching and Sports Science*, 4(1), 128-141. <https://doi.org/10.58524/jcss.v4i1.724>

This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) ©2025 by author/s

INTRODUCTION

Table tennis is known for its rapid gameplay, requiring physical agility, cognitive focus, and technical precision (Han, 2022). It is also recognized as a professional Olympic sport played competitively in over 200 countries (Mousset et al., 2021). Its popularity stems from the minimal space required to play and the relatively low equipment cost, making it accessible across economic and geographic boundaries (Zhu et al., 2022). However, what often appears as a simple rally across a small table is, in reality, a complex interplay of biomechanics, materials science, and human performance (Li et al., 2023; Jawad et al., 2025). Central to this performance is the racket: a seemingly basic tool that, in reality, plays a pivotal role in dictating the game's dynamics. The effectiveness of a player's performance in generating topspin, controlling trajectory, absorbing impact, and manipulating ball speed is significantly influenced by the structural properties and materials used in their racket (Jia et al., 2025). Sports equipment, such as table tennis rackets, have developed rapidly,

*** Corresponding author:**

Sugiharto. W. Universitas Negeri Surabaya, INDONESIA. wachid.22003@mhs.unesa.ac.id

and the bounce factor related to the speed and control of the table tennis racket plays an important role in determining athletes' victory (Chou et al., 2025). A bad racket bounce can interfere with the game strategy (Lu et al., 2024). Therefore, developing a table tennis racket that improves speed and control is essential.

The modern table tennis racket has evolved beyond its rudimentary beginnings to become highly engineered sporting equipment (Lees, 2003). The International Table Tennis Federation (ITTF) stipulates that at least 85% of a racket's blade must be made of natural wood by thickness. However, additional layers of composite materials, such as carbon or glass fibers, are allowed under specific limitations (Deng et al., 2020). These regulatory parameters have spurred innovation in blade construction, with manufacturers and researchers exploring combinations of natural and synthetic materials to enhance racket performance (Li, 2022). Table tennis rackets are also changing with the spread of composite materials. A racket blade made of wood can consist of 7 layers (Yıldızbaş et al., 2022). Typically, the racket blade comprises 5 to 7 layers, including inner and outer veneers, sometimes reinforced with carbon fiber, arylate, or glass fiber to optimize mechanical properties such as stiffness, elasticity, vibration control, and ball rebound characteristics.

A comprehensive body of literature has analyzed how material composition and construction techniques influence racket performance (Li, 2022). Including carbon fiber layers can significantly enhance stiffness and reduce vibration amplitude, allowing for a quicker energy transfer and a more predictable rebound path of the ball (Yin et al., 2024). The elastic modulus, density, and fiber orientation in racket layers greatly affect a racket's power output and control characteristics. Moreover, blade mass and balance point have been shown to influence swing dynamics and stroke timing, affecting player fatigue and consistency. Sponge thickness and rubber hardness interact with blade stiffness, creating a complex system where material synergy becomes a determinant of performance. In terms of wood selection, research has extensively documented the use of imported high-quality woods (Bao et al., 2025), like Hinoki (Japanese cypress), Limba, and Kiri (Paulownia), each offering specific performance attributes. Hinoki, for example, is renowned for its soft touch and excellent feedback, making it a favorite for offensive players relying on topspin. Limba provides a balanced feel and is typically used in the outer ply for better control.

Meanwhile, lightweight woods such as Balsa or Ayous are often used in the core for shock absorption and flexibility. However, much of this research has been oriented around materials sourced and manufactured outside of Indonesia, limiting the scope for integrating local resources into high-performance racket production. This poses a significant gap, particularly in Indonesia, a country endowed with a rich diversity of timber species, many of which remain underexplored in sports engineering and equipment innovation.

This study seeks to address that gap by investigating the potential of Makassar ebony (*Diospyros celebica*), a native Indonesian hardwood, as a core veneer material in table tennis rackets. Known for its exceptional hardness, density, and aesthetic appeal, Makassar ebony has traditionally been used in furniture, musical instruments, and decorative items (Asdar et al., 2017). Its distinct grain pattern and mechanical durability place it in the same class as globally respected woods such as Rosewood. The wood's high modulus of elasticity and compressive strength suggest promising characteristics for performance sports equipment, particularly where control, consistency, and speed are crucial. However, scientific research evaluating its application in racket construction remains scarce, especially through quantitative biomechanical metrics. Integrating such local materials not only offers the opportunity to diversify the global market but also strengthens the capacity of Indonesia's domestic sports industry through innovation and resource utilization.

Makassar ebony's anatomical properties make it a compelling candidate for veneer layers in offensive-style racket blades (Meier, 2013). Its dense grain structure enhances vibration damping, providing a better feel and control when the ball impacts the racket (Manin et al., 2012). At the same time, its hardness and stiffness offer a higher rebound coefficient, potentially translating to increased ball speed (Lu et al., 2024). The veneer also carries a unique aesthetic, striped black and reddish-brown hues, which adds a layer of market appeal from a design perspective (Asdar et al., 2017). Combined with other complementary materials such as Ayous, Balsa, and carbon fiber, each strategically layered for balance, weight distribution, and elasticity, a composite blade using Makassar ebony veneer could present a competitive alternative to existing commercial rackets. In this study, the Garuda Unesa Speed (GUS) racket made of Makassar ebony veneer was created

following a seven-layer configuration, consisting of an outer layer of Makassar ebony veneer, reinforced with ayous, carbon fiber, and supported by balsa as the core layer. This design was biomechanically compared to leading commercial racket models known for their offensive characteristics and advanced blade geometry. The controlled experimental setup was used with consistent parameters for launching the balls with a robot and 2D motion analysis through Kinovea software; both rackets were tested for performance indicators such as speed, acceleration, trajectory, and control consistency. This direct comparative approach objectively evaluates the biomechanical performance of the Makassar ebony wood veneer racket.

The rationale for this study is twofold. First, it introduces a novel material into the high-performance sports equipment domain, testing its functional viability under real-world conditions. Second, it supports a broader national initiative to harness Indonesia's natural resources for value-added product innovation. By validating the efficacy of locally sourced materials such as Makassar ebony in international-standard sports gear, the study contributes to academic knowledge and potentially stimulates industrial development and national branding. The convergence of biomechanics, materials science, and sustainable manufacturing opens new pathways for localized innovation in a field historically dominated by imported goods and technologies. Moreover, the study responds to the growing demand for sustainable and ethically sourced materials in sports manufacturing. With increasing attention to global production chains' environmental and social impact, utilizing locally available hardwoods could reduce transportation-related emissions, support local forestry economies, and promote responsible material sourcing. From an educational and scientific standpoint, the study also provides a model for interdisciplinary collaboration, merging insights from sports science, mechanical engineering, and natural resource management to solve complex performance and sustainability challenges.

The main objective of this research is to analyze the biomechanical performance of the Garuda Unesa Speed (GUS) table tennis racket made from Makassar ebony veneer and to compare its attributes, particularly speed and control, with those of high-end commercial rackets. The Makassar ebony veneer racket is assumed to provide equivalent or superior control while maintaining competitive speed metrics, thus proving its suitability for offensive-style play. Furthermore, this research argues that the mechanical advantages of Makassar ebony, when effectively integrated into the blade construction, can produce a racket that meets or exceeds the functional standards required for competitive play. This study offers a compelling intersection of indigenous resource utilization and scientific innovation. Through its findings, the research aims to contribute to the broader discourse on material performance, racket technology, and the potential for local hardwood species to serve in elite sports contexts. The success of this experiment could pave the way for the mass production of Indonesian-made rackets that are not only functional but also rooted in cultural and ecological identity. In doing so, it promotes athletic performance, national pride, and global recognition in sports technology.

METHOD

Research Design

The study used a comparative experimental design ([Zwarenstein et al., 2017](#)) to evaluate the biomechanical performance of two different table tennis rackets: Garuda Unesa Speed (GUS) made with Makassar ebony veneer and commercial rackets (comparison racket) used by professional athletes. Both rackets are categorized as offensive types and possess similar structural specifications, including a 7-ply composition (5 layers of wood and two layers of carbon fiber), 6.2 mm blade thickness, and an approximate weight of 95 grams ([Stigasport, 2024](#)).

Sampel

This study studied equipment such as Garuda Unesa Speed (GUS) table tennis rackets that use Makassar ebony veneers and commercial rackets (comparison rackets) used by professional athletes. One hundred balls of the Double Fish V40+ brand (China) were thrown to both rackets to measure their speed and control of the bounce.

Instrument

This research instrument uses a Sony Alpha 6400 digital camera equipped with a G Master 18–105mm lens ([SONY, 2013, 2019](#)), a robot ball launcher (Xiom i5 robot, Korea) ([Xiom, 2024a](#)), a Xiom Pro 9 table ([Xiom, 2024b](#)), and the Kinovea 2D application to measure the ball's bounce on a table tennis racket related to speed and control.




Figure 1. Sony Alpha 6400 Digital Camera, Xiom i5 Robot, and Xiom Pro 9 Table

Research Procedure

The initial stage of the data collection implementation process was to make camera adjustments related to camera height and visual distance from the camera to the table tennis table (Figure 2). The researcher set the camera height at 1.5 meters and the camera distance from the table at 5 meters. The determination was made so that the video results could be optimized in proportions to not provide challenges when two-dimensional analysis was carried out. Then, the researcher determined the position of the tested table tennis racket and the table tennis ball thrower. The position of the ejection device is parallel to the position of the table tennis racket to be tested, and the height of the two is 1 meter. Sports biomechanics experts approve this parallel position to allow the results of the ball bounce to be straight and minimize the results of inappropriate bounces.

The rackets were statically mounted using a fixed metal clamp support to maintain their stability and angle at the center of the table. This ensured that all incoming balls struck the racket at the same location and angle, eliminating variability due to human factors. The purpose of this setup was to isolate the racket's biomechanical properties (e.g., rebound speed and control) from other external influences such as user technique or motion. A robotic ball launcher (Robot Xiom i5, Korea) was used to deliver balls at controlled speeds, angles, and frequencies to the rackets to ensure experimental consistency. The robot was positioned in the opposite court and programmed for topspin shots using the following settings: Upper wheel speed: level 6, Lower wheel speed: level 4, and Ball frequency: level 9.

The balls used for testing are 100 from the Double Fish V40+ brand (China), ITTF-approved plastic balls, ensuring consistent quality and bounce properties throughout the experiment. Each racket was fitted with a Stiga DNA Hybrid Sponge rubber for consistency across tests. The trajectory, speed, and control data of the ball after impact with each racket were recorded using a Sony Alpha 6400 digital camera fitted with a G Master 18-105mm lens, mounted perpendicular to the table to capture accurate motion paths. The videos were analyzed using Kinovea 2D motion analysis software, which enabled frame-by-frame tracking of key biomechanical variables, including Rebound speed (m/s), Acceleration (m/s²), Rebound distance (cm), Maximum height (cm), Ball flight time (s), Trajectory length (cm), Vertical and horizontal velocity, Vertical and horizontal acceleration. Each 100 shots was evaluated per racket, and average values were calculated for comparison across variables.




Figure 2. Research Procedure

Data Analysis

This analysis includes two stages. The first is descriptive analysis and normality to examine the distribution of data. The second is a comparative analysis using the T-test or a different test.

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the mean values of each biomechanical parameter for both rackets. Comparative analysis focused on the difference in Rebound speed and distance, which indicate power and explosiveness, Height and trajectory length, which indicate spin and flight arc, and Horizontal and vertical velocity and acceleration, which indicate control and energy transfer. These data points were used to determine which racket design provided better performance outcomes under identical testing conditions. In this case, researchers used statistical analysis software, namely IBM Statistic SPSS 25. Descriptive analysis and data normality were carried out using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov method. As for comparative analysis, researchers used two methods, namely the Independent T-test and the Mann-Whitney U-test. The researcher will use the Independent T-Test if the data distribution is normal. If the data distribution is abnormal, a comparative analysis will be conducted using the Mann-Whitney U test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

This study examined the biomechanical performance of a table tennis racket made with Makassar ebony veneer compared to a commercial standard racket (comparison racket). The comparison was grounded on experimental data and supported by statistical analysis using the Mann-Whitney U Test for non-parametric evaluation. The variable discussed here is reaction time (TIME), a critical indicator of control and efficiency in table tennis performance. The results demonstrate significant differences in time performance between the two racket types, thereby validating the impact of blade composition and material characteristics on biomechanical outcomes.

The results of the Mann-Whitney U test produced a U value of 1094.5 and a Z score of -8.453, with $p < 0.001$, indicating a statistically significant difference between the ebony racket group and the comparison racket group in terms of rebound time. The average ranking for the ebony racket was 122.34, substantially higher than that of the comparison racket at 57.30. This ranking indicates that the Makassar black veneer racket shows a longer rebound time than the comparison racket. These findings imply a greater dwell time, translating to improved control and potential spin-in racket sports. A longer contact time between the ball and the racket may give players a better ability to direct shots and apply nuanced spin, supporting the hypothesis that Makassar black wood can contribute to enhanced control characteristics.

Descriptive Analysis

Based on the results of the descriptive analysis, it is known that there are 10 variables examined in this study. These variables represent the speed and control of the developed racket and the comparison racket. The descriptive analysis in [Table 1](#) and [Table 2](#) shows that the data distribution from both racket analysis results is abnormal ($Sig > 0.05$). However, several variables show normal data distribution results. These variables are the speed and height of the two rackets and the distance variable of the comparison racket.

Table 1. Descriptive Analysis of Veener Eboni Makassar Racket

Variable	N	Mean	SD	Min.	Max.	P-Value
Time	90	0.72	0.04	0.62	0.82	0.01
Speed	90	4.56	0.21	3.99	5.36	0.20*
Distance	90	263.96	15.20	215.31	292.70	0.01
Height	90	80.56	6.19	60.11	98.78	0.14*
Length	90	293.89	34.55	85.27	335.76	0.01
Vertical Velocity	90	0.30	0.32	-2.50	0.82	0.01
Horizontal Velocity	90	3.50	0.88	-2.31	4.20	0.01
Acceleration	90	-0.70	2.32	-7.81	11.82	0.01
Vertical Acceleration	90	9.59	1.66	4.79	16.30	0.01
Horizontal Acceleration	90	-1.22	2.18	-4.29	13.47	0.01

* $Sig > 0.05$

Table 2. Descriptive Analysis of Comparison Racket

Variable	N	Mean	SD	Min.	Max.	P-Value
Time	90	0.66	0.03	0.58	0.73	0.01
Speed	90	4.51	0.17	4.07	4.94	0.20*
Distance	90	249.58	12.00	220.51	273.42	0.20*
Height	90	72.94	4.93	62.73	84.73	0.17*
Length	90	274.92	20.72	178.86	305.33	0.01
Vertical Velocity	90	-0.34	0.08	-0.84	-0.25	0.01
Horizontal Velocity	90	-3.66	0.50	-4.07	-0.66	0.01
Acceleration	90	-1.78	1.92	-5.67	8.20	0.01
Vertical Acceleration	90	-9.42	1.54	-17.66	-5.85	0.01
Horizontal Acceleration	90	2.55	1.41	-6.80	5.24	0.01

*Sig > 0.05

Comparative Analysis

T-tests were conducted in this study to determine the comparative results of the two rackets tested. Although it can be observed through the mean value in the previous descriptive analysis results, a t-test must still be carried out so that the differences seen can be validated accurately. Based on the results of the t-test with the non-parametric path (except speed, distance, and height), all variables showed a highly significant difference with a p-value of 0.01 (Sig < 0.05). However, the speed variable does not show a significant difference, with a p-value of 0.05 (Sig < 0.05). If examined from the mean value, the speed variable has a slight difference, which is only a difference of 0.5. The mean speed data shows that the Makassar ebony veneer racket has a better speed than the comparison racket. This proves that the Makassar ebony veneer racket is also suitable for an attacking or offensive playing style, such as driving and smash techniques.

Table 3. Result of Comparative Analysis

Variable	Mean		P-Value
	Veener	Cyber	
Time	0.72	0.66	0.01*
Speed	4.56	4.51	0.05
Distance	263.96	249.58	0.01*
Height	80.56	72.94	0.01*
Length	293.89	274.92	0.01*
Vertical Velocity	0.30	-0.34	0.01*
Horizontal Velocity	3.50	-3.66	0.01*
Acceleration	-0.70	-1.78	0.01*
Vertical Acceleration	9.59	-9.42	0.01*
Horizontal Acceleration	-1.22	2.55	0.01*

*Sig < 0.05

Kinematic Analysis




Figure 3. Ball Trajectory of Veneer Eboni Makassar Racket

The results of the two-dimensional kinematics analysis show how the ball bounce direction of the two tested rackets (Figure 3 and Figure 4). The results visualize the difference in the stability of the ball rebound direction through the color lines shown in the figures. The ball bounce direction from Figure 4 looks more stable than the ball bounce direction from Figure 3. It can be observed that in Figure 4, no direction of the ball's reflection points backward. In Figure 3, two colors show the direction of the ball's reflection toward the back of the racket.




Figure 4. Ball Trajectory of Comparison Racket

Although Figure 4 presents more stable data than Figure 3, in Figure 3, the ball bounce results appear to have a longer and higher range. The results show that the Makassar ebony veneer racket has better ball control than the commercial racket used for comparison. With a higher rebound and longer range, this racket also has characteristics suitable for defensive play, such as cutting techniques.

Discussion

The findings show that the Makassar ebony veneer racket produced significantly longer rebound times than the commercial racket (comparison racket) model. The extended dwell time associated with the Makassar ebony racket indicates superior control and enhanced ball feel, crucial for executing precise shots, strategic ball placement, and spin variation. These results validate the hypothesis that using Makassar ebony, a dense, strong, and naturally aesthetic wood, can yield performance benefits in racket construction, especially in areas related to stability and control. From a biomechanical standpoint, the increased contact time and smoother rebound behavior can be attributed to the material properties of Makassar ebony, which include high hardness, stiffness, and vibration-damping capability. Combined with a 7-ply construction with carbon fiber reinforcement and a Balsa core, the Makassar ebony veneer delivers a balanced response profile that supports controlled yet powerful play. This makes the racket particularly suitable for players who rely on tactical maneuvering, consistent returns, and defensive counterattacks.

From a biomechanical perspective, the increase in rebound time is associated with the material stiffness and vibration-damping properties inherent to Makassar ebony. As a dense hardwood with a high modulus of elasticity, Makassar ebony likely reduces the energy loss during ball impact, enabling the blade to absorb and return energy more uniformly. This translates into consistent ball trajectories and predictable rebounds, which are critical for professional play. The Makassar racket's construction, featuring a 7-ply structure with carbon fiber reinforcement and a Balsa core, may have further contributed to this performance by balancing rigidity and shock absorption. This configuration optimizes the time-energy exchange between the ball and the racket blade.

In contrast, the comparison racket, though a high-performance commercial product, emphasizes speed and aggressive offensive play. It is designed with unique hexagonal geometry and optimized weight distribution, features that cater to players who prioritize fast rallies and powerful strokes. The shorter rebound time in the comparison racket (mean rank = 57.30) reflects its rapid response and minimal dwell time, favoring explosive shots and direct counters. However, such characteristics might compromise control in favor of speed, particularly in players who require more nuanced ball placement and varied spin.

The results affirm that racket composition significantly affects performance variables like speed, control, and reaction time. Previous literature supports this conclusion. Studies by Wang (2012) and Buragohain (2017) emphasize that the type of wood and layering technique in racket construction influence mechanical properties like vibration frequency, elasticity, and impact response. Furthermore, Miyazawa et al. (2020) established that composite blades with carbon reinforcement improve energy transfer but may increase rigidity beyond a threshold optimal for control. The research conducted by Zhou et al. (2021) states that a good racket can adapt to various unconventional playing styles and successfully alter the ball's trajectory and increase speed. Table tennis rackets based on anisotropic electrorheological elastomers (EREs) have been developed. Many players opt for composite blades on premium table tennis rackets that perfectly balance speed and control. Rackets designed with the needs of professional players in mind ensure every shot is precise and strong (Kumamoto et al., 2025). Understanding the composition of the racket, playing style, and the player's character can help make informed decisions that improve the game (Brich et al., 2024). Lanzoni et al. (2021) state as an all-rounder, control is important. Therefore, it is necessary to choose a bar that offers excellent control. Players focus on taking control through topspin and prefer a safer, more controlled style (Iino & Kojima, 2016).

Wong et al. (2020) identified that table tennis biomechanics reported findings comparing differences between game levels and movement tasks (handwork or footwork) using ball and racket speed. Iino & Kojima (2016) investigated the influence of racket mass and stroke rate on the kinematics and kinetics of racket rods and arms in backhand topspin table tennis. The mass of the racket does not significantly affect all kinematics. Bańkosz & Winiarski (2018) stated that the speed of the racket is correlated with the angle speed in the forehand topspin, while angular speed can substantially affect the speed of the racket when one changes the type of stroke. The ability to generate a high racket speed and a large amount of racket kinetic energy on impact is important for table tennis players (Iino & Kojima, 2016). Liu et al. (2012) propose a racket control method for returning a table tennis ball to a desired position with a desired rotational velocity. The method determines the racket's state, i.e., the racket's striking posture and translational velocity, using two

physical models: the racket rebound and aerodynamics models. Tabrizi et al., (2020) stated that rackets make collecting player hit time series data responsively and sensitively possible.

Furthermore, Rinaldi et al. (2019) stated that the performance of table tennis rackets is often associated with subjective or quantitative criteria such as adhesion, control, and speed. Overall, the so-called performance aims to characterize the impact of the ball. With the continuous development of table tennis and the faster, spinning ball becoming stronger, this requires increasingly higher quality and specification of table tennis equipment (Liu et al., 2014). Modern table tennis rackets are made of composite materials with a ratio of stiffness to weight due to the rebound speed and the ball's topspin increasing with the speed of the resulting impact (Sun et al., 2012). Each racket has the right composition, which is determined by the number of layers, the wood, and its thickness, and several layers of carbon have been introduced to improve the rigidity and speed of the racket (Manin et al., 2012). The racket is the only part of the equipment that can be felt directly: a contact between the hand and the ball. High-level players always emphasize the importance of choosing the right racket. The present study demonstrates that the Makassar ebony veneer offers a balanced alternative, providing adequate speed while enhancing ball dwell time for better handling.

This performance is biomechanically significant and strategically relevant to the sport (Langitan, 2018). The strategy includes gameplay analysis and characteristics, which could be measured by a biomechanical approach (Arduha et al., 2025). Players whose style revolves around precision, spin variation, and strategic placement may benefit more from rackets with slightly longer dwell times. Defensive and all-round players, in particular, often seek rackets that enable them to absorb incoming speed and redirect it with better accuracy. The Makassar ebony veneer fulfills this niche by providing stability during contact and reducing vibration inconsistencies that can lead to error margins during high-speed exchanges.

Despite these limitations, the data strongly suggest that the Makassar ebony veneer racket offers a promising blend of speed and control, suitable for modern competitive table tennis. Its performance, validated by statistical significance, supports its functional use and encourages innovation in local sports equipment manufacturing. Further studies should explore other indigenous hardwoods with unique mechanical properties and test them across different play styles, racket geometries, and environmental conditions.

In conclusion, comparative biomechanical analysis between Garuda Unesa Speed (GUS) rackets made with Makassar ebony veneer and commercial rackets (comparison racket) revealed that GUS rackets showed better control characteristics through longer residence times. This finding supports the hypothesis that Makassar ebony is a viable material for high-performance table tennis equipment, offering an ideal balance between power and precision. Integrating locally sourced materials into competitive sports gear opens avenues for innovation, sustainability, and national industry development, aligning with broader goals in sports science and technological advancement.

Implications

The biomechanical analysis of the bounce of table tennis rackets using Makassar ebony wood veneer related to speed and control can provide an idea and be utilized by coaches and athletes. This racket can be used for smash and block in table tennis games. Athletes who need good control and speed can use this racket. Another critical dimension of this study is utilizing local, sustainable materials in high-performance sports equipment. The application of Makassar ebony, a native Indonesian hardwood, underscores the potential for national material innovation in a field traditionally dominated by imported resources. The wood's density, durability, and visual appeal place it among elite materials such as Hinoki and Rosewood, yet it remains underutilized in racket manufacturing. The successful performance of this racket model in controlled experiments adds credibility to the idea that local industries can develop internationally competitive products using indigenous resources. This approach boosts national pride and economic self-reliance and contributes to sustainability by reducing reliance on foreign materials with high ecological footprints.

Research Contribution

The study contributes to sports biomechanics, sports engineering, and local innovation. By demonstrating the functional viability of indigenous Indonesian materials in high-performance sports equipment, this research underscores the untapped potential of natural resources in supporting the domestic sports industry. With its excellent mechanical properties and cultural significance, Makassar ebony is a promising alternative to commonly imported woods such as Hinoki or Limba. The findings encourage manufacturers, researchers, and policymakers to further explore and invest in sustainable and locally sourced material innovation.

Limitations

Despite the positive outcomes, the study acknowledges several limitations. The experimental setup used static racket placement and a robotic ball launcher, which ensured high internal validity but may not fully capture the dynamics of human gameplay. Additionally, the biomechanical parameters were limited to rebound time and trajectory analysis. While the study minimized variability through robot-assisted delivery and fixed racket positioning, it did not account for human handling, grip differences, or real-game dynamic conditions. Additionally, a small portion of test shots (10 for the ebony racket and 8 for the commercial racket) did not result in perfect contact, leading to minor data exclusions.

Suggestions

Future research should incorporate dynamic testing with players, include spin and angular velocity as additional variables, and apply 3D motion capture technologies to better understand racket performance under competitive conditions. Nevertheless, the findings must be interpreted in light of the study's limitations. First, the experimental design involved a static racket setup using a robotic launcher, excluding human grip variability, wrist action, and stroke techniques during actual gameplay. While this approach was suitable for isolating material effects and ensuring consistent testing conditions, future research should include dynamic trials with human subjects to validate findings in real-life scenarios. Second, although rebound time is a meaningful indicator of control, a broader range of biomechanical variables such as spin rate, angular velocity, and energy transfer efficiency could provide a more holistic evaluation. Incorporating 3D motion analysis or high-speed photometry may yield deeper insights into racket-ball interactions.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, there is a difference in the speed and bounce control of the table tennis racket using Makassar ebony wood veneer with the commercial racket (comparison racket) used by professional athletes. Based on the results of biomechanical analysis, the reflection of the Makassar ebony wood veneer racket makes a good contribution to the speed and control of the table tennis racket. This finding has practical implications for designing an effective table tennis athlete smash and block game by utilizing the speed and control of a table tennis racket using Makassar ebony wood veneer. The Makassar ebony veneer racket demonstrates strong potential as a high-performance alternative in the global table tennis market. Meanwhile, the commercial racket can absorb the speed and power. Furthermore, it has the advantage of significantly reducing the ball's speed, distance, and height ($\text{sig} < 0.05$). Its biomechanical advantages in control and consistency and its local origin and sustainability position it as a noteworthy innovation in sports science and product development. This study paves the way for further interdisciplinary collaboration between material science, sports engineering, and national industry, ultimately contributing to Indonesia's presence in the world of competitive sports technology.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author thanks the Department of Physical Education and the Department of Sports Science at the Faculty of Sports Science and Health UNESA/Universitas Negeri Surabaya, Indonesia, for supporting this scientific work.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Research Design: WS, N. Statistical Analysis: DCK, MAAA. Article Preparation: WS, SSB, JMA. Data Collection - Performed by WS, SEW.

REFERENCES

Ardha, M. A., Nurhasan, N., Kartiko, D. C., Yang, C. B., Bikalawan, S. S., Rizki, A. Z., & Herista, S. V. W. (2025). Identifying the research trend of sport biomechanics over the last 20 years: a bibliometric analysis of the scopus journal database. *Physical Education Theory and Methodology*, 25(1), 172–182. <https://doi.org/10.17309/tmfv.2025.1.21>

Asdar, M., Prayitno, P., Luknadaru, G., & Faridah, E. (2017). *Sebaran, Potensi, dan Sifat-Sifat Kayu Eboni di Sulawesi* [Dissertasi, Universitas Gajah Mada].

Bańkosz, Z., & Winiarski, S. (2018). Correlations between angular velocities in selected joints and velocity of table tennis racket during topspin forehand and backhand. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine*, 17(2), 330-338.

Bao, W., Tan, Y., Ying, Z., Xue, R., Xu, X., Duan, S., Lin, H., & Chen, H. (2025). An investigation of the mechanical properties of ti films reinforced with wood composites by growing ti particles on a wood substrate. *Polymers*, 17(5), 583-596. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym17050583>

Brich, Q., Casals, M., Crespo, M., Reid, M., & Baiget, E. (2024). Quantifying hitting load in racket sports: A scoping review of key technologies. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 19(6), 519–532. <https://doi.org/10.1123/IJSPP.2023-0385>

Buragohain, M. K. (2017). *Composite Structures: Design, Mechanics, Analysis, Manufacturing, and Testing*, London : Routledge.

Chou, C. Y., Chen, Z. H., Sheu, Y. H., Chen, H. H., Sun, M. Te, & Wu, S. K. (2025). TTSwing: A dataset for table tennis swing and racket kinematics analysis. *Scientific Data*, 12(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-025-04680-y>

Deng, J., Wei, X., Zhou, H., Wang, G., & Zhang, S. (2020). Inspiration from table tennis racket: Prepare rubber-wood-bamboo laminated composite (RWBLIC) and its response characteristics to cyclic perpendicular compressive load. *Composite Structures*, 241(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2020.112135>

Han, L. (2022). A table tennis motion correction system based on human motion feature recognition. *Security and Communication Networks*, 2022(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/7049429>

Iino, Y., & Kojima, T. (2016). Effect of the racket mass and the rate of strokes on kinematics and kinetics in the table tennis topspin backhand. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 34(8), 721-729. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2015.1069377>

Jawad, M. K., Hussein, A. A. H., & Neama, N. S. (2025). Biomechanic Variables and Volleyball Serve Receiving Skills: The Role of Special Exercises. *Journal of Coaching and Sports Science*, 4(1), 66-75. <https://doi.org/10.58524/jcss.v4i1.601>

Jia, M., Sun, B., Jia, M., Liu, Y., & Zhang, D. (2025). Comparative study on the performance of different table tennis rubbers. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part P: Journal of Sports Engineering and Technology*, 2025(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/17543371241310338>

Kumamoto, R., Loh, P. Y., He, Y., Ferlinghetti, E., Lancini, M., & Uno, T. (2025). Impacts of racket handle design on table tennis topspin forehand rally performance among beginner players. *Sports* 2025, 13(22), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SPORTS13010022>

Langitan, F. W. (2018). The influence of training strategy and physical condition toward forehand drive ability in table tennis. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 306(1), 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/306/1/012043>

Lanzoni, I. M., Bartolomei, S., Michele, R. Di, Gu, Y., Baker, J. S., Fantozzi, S., & Cortesi, M. (2021). Kinematic analysis of the racket position during the table tennis top spin forehand stroke. *Applied Sciences*, 11(11), 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.3390/APP11115178>

Lees, A. (2003). Science and the major racket sports: A review. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 21(9), 1-27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0264041031000140275>

Li, W., Liu, X., An, K., Qin, C., & Cheng, Y. (2023). Table tennis track detection based on temporal feature multiplexing network. *Sensors*, 23(3), 1-29. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s23031726>

Li, X. Y. (2022). Study on the performance of table tennis racket made of carbon fiber by numerical simulation. *Journal of Technology*, 37(3), 1-6.

Liu, C., Hayakawa, Y., & Nakashima, A. (2012). Racket control and its experiments for robot playing table tennis. *2012 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics, ROBIO 2012 - Conference Digest*, 241–246. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ROBIO.2012.6490973>

Liu, J. Q., Wang, B., Zhao, X., & Dou, Y. (2014). The application of rubber materials on table tennis racket. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 473(1), 116–120. <https://doi.org/10.4028/WWW.SCIENTIFIC.NET/AMM.473.116>

Lu, Y., Ren, J., Wang, J., & Wang, Y. (2024). Effect of table tennis balls with different materials and structures on the hardness and elasticity. *PLOS ONE*, 19(4), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0301560>

Manin, L., Poggi, M., & Havard, N. (2012). Vibrations of table tennis racket composite wood blades: modeling and experiments. *Procedia Engineering*, 34(1), 694–699. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PROENG.2012.04.118>

Meier, E. (2013). *Macassar Ebony*. The Wood Database. <https://www.wood-database.com/macassar-ebony/>

Miyazawa, Y., Hadano, A., & Tanaka, K. (2020). Effects of pimple height of a table tennis rubber on ball rebound behavior. *Proceedings 2020*, 49(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.3390/PROCEEDINGS2020049055>

Mousset, K., Violette, L., & Épron, A. (2021). The ITTF and Olympic recognition of table tennis: from pure amateurism to the Asian markets (1926–1988). *Sport in History*, 41(4), 578–595. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17460263.2021.1919187>

Rinaldi, R. G., Manin, L., Moineau, S., & Havard, N. (2019). Table tennis ball impacting racket polymeric coatings: Experiments and modeling of key performance metrics. *Applied Sciences*, 9(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/APP9010158>

SONY. (2013). *E PZ 18-105mm F4 G OSS*. https://www.sony.co.id/id/electronics/lensa-kamera/selp18105g#product_details_default

SONY. (2019). *ILCE-6400*. <https://www.sony.co.id/id/interchangeable-lens-cameras/products/ilce-6400>

Stigasport. (2024). *CYBERSHAPE® Carbon CWT Truls Edition*. <https://www.stigasports.com/en/product/cybershape-carbon-cwt-truls-edition?sizeX=0&+sizeY=0&sizeY=0>

Sun, W. M., Zhang, S. Q., & Hao, S. R. (2012). The soleplate materials and performance of table tennis bat with the composite properties of materials in material engineering. *Advanced Materials Research*, 583(1), 232–235. <https://doi.org/10.4028/WWW.SCIENTIFIC.NET/AMR.583.232>

Tabrizi, S. S., Pashazadeh, S., & Javani, V. (2020). Data acquired by a single object sensor for the detection and quality evaluation of table tennis forehand strokes. *Data in Brief*, 33(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DIB.2020.106504>

Wang, J. (2012). Application of composite materials on sports equipments. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 155(1), 903–906. <https://doi.org/10.4028/WWW.SCIENTIFIC.NET/AMM.155-156.903>

Wong, D. W. C., Lee, W. C. C., & Lam, W. K. (2020). Biomechanics of table tennis: A systematic scoping review of playing levels and maneuvers. *Applied Sciences*, 10(15), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/APP10155203>

XIOM. (2024a). *i 5 Twin Head*. <https://xiom.global/product/i-5-twin-head/621/category/178/display/1/>

XIOM. (2024b). *PRO-9 ITTF*. <https://xiom.global/product/pro-9-ittf/981/>

Yin, T., Hao, L., Zhao, X., Fu, Z., Liu, C., & He, S. (2024). Dynamic characteristics and mechanisms of table tennis blades with the inclusion of special fiber laminates. *Fuhe Cailiao Xuebao/Acta Materiae Compositae Sinica*, 41(3), 1626–1632. <https://doi.org/10.13801/j.cnki.fhclxb.20230703.002>

Yıldızbaş, A., Özlüsoylu, İ., İstek, A. (2022). Table tennis blade production and features. *Bartin Orman Fakültesi Dergisi*, 24(2), 394–404. <https://doi.org/10.24011/BAROFD.1085278>

Zhou, X., Wang, L., Huang, D., Liang, Y., Shi, Q., Yaying, H., Zhang, M., Pu, H., Wen, W., & Wu, J. (2021). Smart table tennis racket with tunable stiffness for diverse play styles and unconventional technique training (Adv. Mater. Technol. 10/2021). *Advanced Materials Technologies*, 6(10), 2170056. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ADMT.202170056>

Zhu, X., Zhang, M., Wang, X., Jia, C., & Zhang, Y. (2022). A portable and low-cost triboelectric nanogenerator for wheelchair table tennis monitoring. *Electronics (Switzerland)*, 11(24), 1-11.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ELECTRONICS11244189>

Zwarenstein, M., Treweek, S., Gagnier, J. J., Altman, D. G., Tunis, S., Haynes, B., Oxman, A. D., & Moher, D. (2017). Chapter 10 Methods for Comparative Studies. *BMJ*, 337(7680), 1223-1226.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/BMJ.A2390>